

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/635,508	08/07/2003	Hiroaki Yoda	241103US 2RD DIV	8460
22850	7590 03/01/2006		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			TUGBANG, ANTHONY D	
	NA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3729	

DATE MAILED: 03/01/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commons	10/635,508	YODA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	A. Dexter Tugbang	3729				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
· <u> </u>	3)⊠ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 8-10 is/are pending in the application.	4) Claim(s) 8-10 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>10</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8 and 9</u> is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers 2						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/810,187. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/7/03, 5/3/04, 5/28/4	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa					

Application/Control Number: 10/635,508 Page 2

Art Unit: 3729

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of the invention of Group I, Claims 8-9, in the reply filed on January 13, 2006 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the examiner has not established that the inventions are distinct and that there would be no serious burden to examine both Groups of inventions. This is not found persuasive because the inventions are distinct for the reasons proffered by the examiner in paragraph 2 of the last Office Action (dated December 22, 2005). The searches for both of the inventions of Groups I and II would be non-coextensive, requiring different art, as each requires different and distinct lines of patentability that would place a serious burden on the examiner to search and examiner all of the inventions of Groups I and II.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim 10 has been withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.
 Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on January 13, 2006.

Specification

3. Applicant is reminded of the proper content of an abstract of the disclosure.

A patent abstract is a concise statement of the technical disclosure of the patent and should include that which is new in the art to which the invention pertains. If the patent is of a basic nature, the entire technical disclosure may be new in the art, and the abstract should be directed to the entire disclosure. If the patent is in the nature of an improvement in an old apparatus, process, product, or composition, the abstract should include the technical disclosure of the improvement. In certain patents, particularly those for compounds and compositions,

Application/Control Number: 10/635,508

Art Unit: 3729

wherein the process for making and/or the use thereof are not obvious, the abstract should set forth a process for making and/or use thereof. If the new technical disclosure involves modifications or alternatives, the abstract should mention by way of example the preferred modification or alternative.

The abstract should not refer to purported merits or speculative applications of the invention and should not compare the invention with the prior art.

Where applicable, the abstract should include the following:

- (1) if a machine or apparatus, its organization and operation;
- (2) if an article, its method of making;
- (3) if a chemical compound, its identity and use;
- (4) if a mixture, its ingredients;
- (5) if a process, the steps.

Extensive mechanical and design details of apparatus should not be given.

4. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

- 5. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the content does not appear to be directed to the claimed invention, i.e. process of making, and the length appears to be greater than 150 words. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 6. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The following title is suggested: -- A Method of Manufacturing a Magnetic Head--.

Application/Control Number: 10/635,508 Page 4

Art Unit: 3729

Claim Objections

7. Claims 8 and 9 are objected to because of the following informalities.

In Claim 8, the phrase of "forming medium" (line 8) should be replaced with --forming a medium--.

In Claim 9, the phrase of "is focused" (line 2) should be replaced with --is a focused--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 8. Claims 8 and 9 are allowed.
- 9. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art does not teach all of the limitations of the claimed invention including forming a magnetic body on a substrate, and separating the magnetic body and the magnetic gap from the substrate and forming a medium facing surface substantially coplanar with the principal plane.

The closest prior art reference is directed to Honma et al (U. S. Patent 5,761,789), which shows forming a magnetic body, applying a beam to the magnetic body thereby forming a portion defining a hole (see Fig. 6), and forming a magnetic gap in the hole. However, nowhere does Honma show forming the magnetic body on a substrate and subsequently separating the magnetic body and the magnetic gap from the substrate.

Conclusion

This application is in condition for allowance except for the following formal matters:To correct the minor informalities above regarding the specification and claim objections.

Prosecution on the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte*Ouayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire **TWO MONTHS** from the mailing date of this letter.

- 11. This application contains Claim 10, drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in the response filed on January 13, 2006. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.
- 12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to A. Dexter Tugbang whose telephone number is 571-272-4570. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday Friday 8:30 am 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's' supervisor, Peter Vo can be reached on 571-272-4690. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/635,508

Art Unit: 3729

A. Dexter Tugbang Primary Examiner Art Unit 3729 Page 6

February 21, 2006